

Appendix 2

### Draft Supplementary Planning Guidance 'Planning for Community Safety' 14<sup>th</sup> September 2016

Equality Impact Assessment

### Draft Supplementary Planning Guidance: 'Planning for Community Safety'

Contact:

Updated:

Angela Loftus, Planning & Public Protection Service 14/09/16

#### 1. What type of proposal / decision is being assessed?

Other

## 2. What is the purpose of this proposal / decision, and what change (to staff or the community) will occur as a result of its implementation?

The draft Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) provides guidance to those involved in the submission and determination of applications for planning permission, around how to ensure crime prevention and community safety issues are addressed through good design. Public consultation will be carried out on the draft SPG.

## 3. Does this proposal / decision require an equality impact assessment? If no, please explain why.

*Please note:* if the proposal will have an impact on people (staff or the community) then an equality impact assessment <u>must</u> be undertaken

No	SPG notes amplify Denbighshire Local Development Plan (LDP) policies with the aim of improving the process, design and quality of new development. SPGs provide guidance and do not set policy or prescribe actions.
	This draft SPG supports LDP policy RD 1 'Sustainable development and good standard design', which underwent an EqIA in 2010. This concluded that policy RD 1 did not require further EqIA as it was considered to have positive impacts on all groups, particularly those who are, or feel, more vulnerable to crime.

# 4. Please provide a summary of the steps taken, and the information used, to carry out this assessment, including any engagement undertaken

(*Please refer to section 1 in the toolkit for guidance*)

The Denbighshire LDP is the overarching policy document under which all SPG sit and this underwent an EqIA in 2010.

5. Will this proposal / decision have a positive impact on any of the protected characteristics (age; disability; genderreassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; and sexual orientation)?

(*Please refer to section 1 in the toolkit for a description of the protected characteristics*)

No

6. Will this proposal / decision have a disproportionate negative impact on any of the protected characteristics (age; disability; gender-reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; and sexual orientation)?

No

7. Has the proposal / decision been amended to eliminate or reduce any potential disproportionate negative impact? If no, please explain why.

No Not required

8. Have you identified any further actions to address and / or monitor any potential negative impact(s)?

No	Not required		
Action(s)		Owner	By when?

\_\_\_\_\_

#### 9. Declaration

Every reasonable effort has been made to eliminate or reduce any potential disproportionate impact on people sharing protected characteristics. The actual impact of the proposal / decision will be reviewed at the appropriate stage.

Review Date: March 2017	
Name of Lead Officer for Equality Impact Assessment	Date
Angela Loftus	14/09/16

Please note you will be required to publish the outcome of the equality impact assessment if you identify a substantial likely impact.